Approved For Release 2005/01/05 JUNAPPORT 100149R000700480022-2 In his book, "The Uncertain Trumpet," he made some fierce criticisms of the nation's military policy, set-up, and performance, and thereafter (even while serving as President Kennedy's in-



MAXWELL D. TAYLOR

Leveled Sharp Complaints On Military Set-Up In Book

[Sun Military Correspondent]

Washington, June 26 - Gen. Maxwell D. Taulor's return to active duty and appointment as the President's military representative may well provide that distinguished soldier with more sigtinguished soldier with more significant influence than he had, extremely small. No "lost divierted as Chief of Staff of the sion" has been restored. Army from 1955 to 1959.

His new job has no executive authority or administrative, responsibility. But it does place him closer to the nation's Commander in Chief of the armed services than are the top commanders of the Army, Navy or Am Force or the joint chiefs chairman.

in that unique position—there has been nothing remotely like it since the days after 1941 when since the days after 1941 when the late Adm. William D. Leahy served as "White House chief of staff" to Presidents Franklin D. Roosevelt and Harry S. Truman Hoosevert and marry S. Truman General Taylor, back on active duty, will be able, with Presidential approval, not only to respond to inquiries on military matters but, presumably, to initiate advise. ate advice.

Criticisms In Book

For that reason there is natural interest in views which Taylor held in January, 1960, just after his retirement from the Army when he was less inhibited than he had been while on active duty.

ing as President Kennedy's informal adviser since the Cuban fiasco) he has given no public revision of the book's views.

Besides sharp general com-Paints about "cobwebs fogging

the thought processes of our sen-given any support to Taylor ior leadership" and "fuzzy radical proposal for a single Chief thinking" in the nation's defense of Staff (from one of the armed planning, and warning against services) with two deputies (from "a serious crisis about 1961," Tay the other two), nor has President lor's book made these specific Kennedy thus far shown publicly

sufficient war deterrent. Rather, the National Security Council headed by the President and in-

It is worth noting that President

Kennedy has indicated much the
same view, and has stated a
need for additional limited war
preparation. He has not gone
it nevertheless expected them to
implement. He held this meant nearly as far as Taylor urged, implement. He held this meant however, in actual Army expentation that there was no clear relating ditures for modernization.

grave harm had been done by the reduction of the armed services. This he applied particularly to the Army, and although the President has made modest increases in certain areas, the net unproductive compromises and ex-

3. Taylor charged that the Joint Chiefs of Staff structure has not brought about firm decisions on the new Defense Secretary is derather, that the joint chiefs' deep sions have represented a weak compromise or have been delayed altogether.

Favors Single Chief
During 1960, it may be noted.
Thomas S. Gates, Jr., then Defense Secretary, initiated a more
positive participation in joint
chiefs deliberations, and this practice has been markedly extended by the present Secretary, Robert S. McNamara.

Neither of them, however, has

declarations:

If it is a "great fallacy" to 4. Taylor was particularly hos-

regard massive retaliation as a tile to the performance to date by likely to provoke a nuclear war cluding primarily the Vice President else "compromise and retreat".

Indicates Similar View
It is worth noting that President

It is worth noting that President

It is worth noting that President

Indicates Similar View

It is worth noting that President

of major foreign policy commit 2. Taylor's charge was that ments to the means of carrying them out.

5. Taylor was particularly critical of the defense budget method which he said has always pitted the services together and led to with subsequent assignment of functions to the services.

cidedly sympathetic already.

General Taylor's services will be in the realms of intelligence as well as the military, and a highlevel linking here must be recognized as of outstanding impor-

Intelligence has always been necessarily a prime concern of all the military services, but the all the imilitary services, but the creation of the Central Intelligence Agency, primarily with civilian peration, has seemed most untrunately, to create something a split between civilian and military organizations in their pursuits and appraisals of information essential both to military and foreign policy planning.

eign policy planning. If may prove that this prospective relinking will be one of the most valuable results of General Taylor's recent studies of salutary lessons from the Cuban business itself a tragic example of what happens when intelligence and operations are not precisely coordinated

The Taylor report on his inter

Approved For Release 2005/01/05 : CIA-RDP75 to 10/05/19 000 000 1800 22-2

first visible and most valuable